Ly diame i is

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director (Coordination)

PROBLEM

The Basic Duties and Responsibilities of the DCT and of the Proposed USIB, and the Relationships of Each to the NSC.

(Conclusions and Recommendations on Page 6)

FACTS BEARING ON THE PROBLEM

- 1. The merger involves the integration of two groups with basically the same degree of responsibility and authority but with charters which are expressed in greatly differing language.
- 2. The IAC is purely advisory with its real authority based on the right to dissent from any action proposed by the DCI and thereby force a resolution of the problem at the NSC level.
- 3. The USCIB acts for and under the NSC and its responsibilities and duties are expressed in the dynamic language of 'command," i.e.,

 USCIB initiates, formulates and carries out policy, establishes objectives, requirements and priorities, supervises arrangements with foreign governments, considers and makes recommendations, determines the degree of security protection required, establishes procedures, provides for the appropriate assignment of jurisdiction, and decisions of USCIB shall be binding on all departments and a sencies.
- h. The Sec. Def. has dissolved the Joint Intelligence Committee thereby eliminating an important "place in the sun" ormerly enjoyed by the Service intelligence enjoyed.

- 5. The Service intellingence chiefs profess to be convinced that the statements made by General Mull and General Cutter at the joint TAC/USCIB meeting clearly indicated their desire that the new Board should be "authoritative," 'high Level" and directly under the NSC.
- o. Mr. Quarles is reported to noted the belief that because national policy in the intelligence field has a bearing on the expenditure and allocation of funds and the disposition of personnel and resources, such national policy should be based on recommendations developed and transmitted to the NSC by the Board. It is also stated that Mr. Quarles considers that the DOD is making the largest contribution to the total foreign intelligence effort in terms of mon, money and resources, therefore, its representatives should have a stronger and more positive voice in the development of national policy which affects such men, money and resources.
- The National Security Act clearly places CTA 'under the direction of the NSC" and assigns to CTA the duty to advise and make recommendations to the NSC. It further provides that CTA will perform any other function or duty that the NSC may direct. What the relationship of the CTA and the DCI to the NSC is identical with the relationship of the NSC to the President. For all practical purposes, the CTA and the DCI are under the direct command of the President; no other Agency or individual in the intelligence community has that position.
- 3. Although the proposed draft (post merger) NSCID I gives to the new USIB responsibilities other than that of "advising the DCI,"

1. 1.

Approved For Release 2003/01/29: CIA-RDP80B01676R001100060054-7

eareful analysis of the qualifying language in NSCID and in the other MSCIDs, so far approved by the NSC, indicate that the new USIB will still be almost exclusively advisory to the DCI. The range of actions which the DCI initiates and carries out, in consultation with the Board, covers practically every area in the flend of foreign intelligence — there is no area specifically "stand out" for the board to act on as a Board and in its own name.

- a. The Board's stated responsibilities include:
 - (1) Assistance and advice to the DCI.
- (2) Formulation of common policies and development of coordinated programs.
- (5) Establishment of installigence objectives, requirements and priorities.
 - (!) Review of the foreign intelligence effort.
 - (1) Recommendations to appropriate US criticals.
- (6) Development and review of security standards and practices.
- o. Summarizing the law, the draft MSCID 1 and the other MSCIDs so far approved by the MSC, we find that the DCI "shall do" the following:

(BY LAW)

- (1) Advise and make recommendations to the NSC.
 - (OF PROVISIONS OF DRAFT MSCID 1)
- (2) Coordinate the foreign intelligence activities of the US (Para. 1).
 - (3) Invite "other chiefs" to sit with the USIB (Para. 2.b.).

- (h) Refer discents in USID to the MSC (rera. 2.d.).
- (5) Themshits approved actions or recomminations of USIB to the departments and electors or to the RaC (Para. 2.1.).
- views or "those members or John concerned when transmitting when approved by one MSC, shall be issued as MSCIDs (Para. 2.5.).
- (7) Acts for the MSC to provide for detailed implementation of MSCIDs by issued DCIDs that the concentence of USID.
- (3) As Chairman of USIB, he determines when a given position represents the "consensus or view of the Sound and is thereby considered "a recal unless a disserting member specifically requests that the nation be reserved to the MSC (Para. 2.d.).
- (5) Both the ASCIDs which are based on recommendations and by the DCI to the MSC and the DCIDs provided by the DCI acting for the MSC are, in effect, 'bradan, on all departments and agencies concerned.
- (10) Through the power to issue DCIDs, It is the DCI who: (Para. 5.b.)
 - (a). Trovides general guidance and one establishment of specific priorities for one production and collection of intelligence.
 - (b) Establishes poincies, procedures and practices for the continuing interchange of interchalgence within the community.

- (c) Establishes policies, procedures and practices for the production or procurement or intelligence or intelligence information by one member of the community to satisfy the requirements of another.
- (11) Produces and disseminates National Intelligence with the support and concurrence of USIL (Para. 4.a.).
- (12) Ensures the development of policies and procedures for the protection of intelligence and of intelligence sources and methods with the assistance and support of the USIB members (Para. 5).
- (13) Calls upon the departments and a encies to investicate unauthorized dischosures or intelligence and of intelligence sources and methods (Para. 5).
- (14) In consultation with and supported by the USIB members, the DCI shall do the following: (Para. 6.a.)
 - (a) Call upon other departments and agencies to chause that the intelligence community is supported by the full involedge and technical talent available in the Government.
 - (b) Ensure that the pertinence, extent and quality of the foreign intettlinence available as continually reviewed as a basis for improving its quality and correcting dericiencies.
 - (c) Take appropriate measures to facilitate the coordinated development of compatible referencing systems.

- (d) wake surveys of the departmental intelligence activities of the various departments and agencies as he may deem necessary.
- (c) Make arrangements with the ospartments and agencies for the assignment to or exenange with CDA personnel.

(BY THE PROVISIONS OF ASCHD 2)

- (15) Ensures that the planning for and one utilization of intelligence collecting capabilities avoids undesirable duplication and uncoordinated overlap in consultation with the TAC or individual members of the community (Para. 1).
- (15) Coordinates CTA's exploitation of foreign language publications with similar activities of the other departments and agencies (Para. 9).

(IY THE PROVISIONS OF MSCID 5)

- (17) Determines the form in which the MI3 will be disseminated in consultation with the depurtments and agencies concerned (Para. t.e.).
- (13) Coordinate the production and maintenance and accomplishes the review, publication and dissemination of the NIS (Para. 1.d.).
- (19) Maintains a continuous program of coordination and evaluation of intelligence production to promote an integration of effort and avoid undesirable duplication (Para. 7).

(20) Determines which member of the empirity can undertake production responsibility for in telligence for which there is no existing capability in consultation with the IAC (Para. 3).

(IY THE PROVISIONS OF NECID 1)

- (21) May apply the provisions of MSCID b to mationals of countries other than the USSR and the SUM-Soviet Bloc, in consultation with the TAC (Para. 5)
- (22) May determine when a defector requires special handling and restriction of unformation concerning him, in consultation with the TAC (Para. 6).
- (35) Establishes procedures for the observation of the Defector Program is consultation with the TAC (Para. 7.d.).
- (24) Coordinates all activities authomized in connection with the Defector Program (Pera. 7.b.).

(ST THE PROVESIONS OF MSCID 5)

- (25) Establishes, in consultation with the IAC, the procedures necessary to ensure centralized direction of MSCID 5 activities through prior, comprehensive and continuing coordination (Tara. 2.a.).
 - (26) Coordinates all MSCID i activities (Para. 2.5.).
- (27) Makes initially agreeable arrangements with other departments and agencies for cover support required by CIA and coordinates the arrangements for cover support required by them (Para. 2.d.).

(23) Develops appropriate policy reconscidations for MSC consideration with respect to the over-all US counter-intelligence effort, in consultation with the IAC and other interested departments and agencies (Parc. 1).

DISCUSSION

- 9. On the basis of the provisions in draft (now deriver) NSCID 1 and on the assumption that the only substantive revision to be made in the other NSCIDs, so far approved by the MSC, will be to change the term "TAC" to "USTB" -- there will be no clearly identify at the area in which the new USTB is assigned any specific responsibility to act on in its own name, nor will it, as a board, have any direct responsibility to the MSC.
- 10. Like the present IAC, the responsibilities of the new USID will be consultative in nature and its only real authority will be based on the right of dissent. For the military members, this pathority is subject to approval by the Sec. Def.
- II. Only in the new MSCID 3 do we find language which emphasizes the authority and responsibility of the ward itself rather than that of the DCI. In this case it is the board which "acts for and under the MSC" to establish policies "governing," etc., rather than the DCI. Although the new MSCID 3 tends to water down the authority of the DCI in the intelligence area covered by that document, there is nothing in the law which exempts this area from CTA's advisory and recommending authority. Furthermore, the basic concept of "Board action" contained

in NSCID 3 is inconsistent with the Ellipsian sound recommendation for strong centralized direction of the intelligence of out through the NSC and the DCI.

- of their prestige by the elimination of the Joint Intelligence Committee and the general upgrading of the Joint Staff in the field of Service intelligence activities. It is understandable that these men would be more than usually allergic to any action which they could construe as a further reduction in their prestige. It is obvious that the dynamic language of NSCID 3 has a strong appeal to them at this time. The language contained in paragraph 2 of draft MSCID 1 has the appearance of providing some Board "authority" out, as indicated in the analysis above, it is so watered down by the qualifying provisions in the law and NSCIDs as to have little in the way of substance.
- 13. In recent months some inhabitants of the Pentagon have expressed the view that the provisions of the NSCIDs are not consistent with the concept of an "intelligence community" which, to them, means the joint participation of all members in the arrairs of the community.
- 14. As reported concerning Mr. quartes, the Killian Board also has been impressed by the large and growing cost of our foreign intelligence effort. However, their reaction was to conclude that a more comprehensive coordination and the strong centralized direction of this effort "through the MSC and the DCT" would do much to contain the cost, avoid unnecessary duplication, etc.

5 ...

15. With respect to the new USIS being "authoratative" and directly responsible to the NSC," it is difficult to see now this could be accomplished unless the loard number truly represented the "Tinal word" in their departments and agencies when inviters for NSC consideration came to the decision stage in USL: At the present time, agreement by the military members in the TAC does not represent the "final word" of the Pentagon on a matter being referred to the MSC as it does not at this point have the approval of the JCS. On this very problem of the revised NSCID 1, the Joint Stars have asked for sufficient time after the final paper is approved by one IAC, to "clear it" with the JCS price to its submission to the NSC. If it were decided to make the new Board truly 'cuthoritative" and "directly responsible" to the MSC, the Pentagon would have to revise its staffing system so that all "clearances" in the Fentagon up to the level of the Sec. Def., at least, were finalized prior to USIB action so that a vote by the military members would truly reflect the firm position of their respective departments and a jencies.

CONCLUSION

- 1. By law, only the CIA and the DCI are directly responsible to and under "command" of the MSC; in effect, the President.
- 2. It is the clear intent of the Killian board reconnectations, approved by the President, to achieve strong centralized direction of the entire foreign intelligence effort of the US through the NSC and the DCI.
- 3. The net result of the provisions contained in draft (post merger) MSCID 1 and in the other MSCIDs so for approved by the MSC,

is to make the new USIB consultative in nature with his "authority" based on the right to dissent on any action proposed by the DCI. There is no area in which the USIB is given any specific responsibility to act on its own initiative and in its own name, nor in the board made responsible to the NSC.

- 4. The revisions to our draft NSCID I proposed by the Pentagon would make the Board, as such, directly responsible to the NSC for the development of recommendations for NSC consideration and would imply that all NSCIDs were to be based on loard rather than DCI recommendations. However, it would retain the DCI's recommending authority without making any distinction as to the areas in which the DCI would make recommendations in his own name in contrast to recommendations made by the Board and submitted by the DCI as its Chairman.
- 5. The language of the new NSCID 3, with respect to USCID, is inconsistent with the basic concepts upon which the Millian Board recommendations have been based.
- 6. The Pentagon's professed interpretation of the remarks made by General Hull and General Cutter at the joint IAC/USCIS meeting has confused the issue with respect to the intent of the Millian (Hull) board relative to the position of the new USTs versus that of the DCI and the relationship of both to the MSC.
- There is no way to accommodate the desires on the Pentagon, as expressed in their proposed revision of draft MSCED 1 with respect to the Board, without downgrading and confusing the position of the DCI by creating a duality of responsibility to the MSC in the field of foreign intelligence and submittan; to the MSC a reposal that

. ji 🖖 🗻

would be inconsistent with the basic objectives of the Millian Board recommendations which already have Presidential approved.

- 3. There are two areas in which might be taken to improve the position of the USIB members, one would be largely payenological and the other substantive.
 - e. Revise the language of all the MSCIDs to emphasize the fact that all actions by the DCT will be conducted with the maximum degree of constitution and collaboration with the USTS members, a practice which has been consistently rollowed through the years and to a fault, in the opinion of the middle board.
 - b. Have the DCT propose that the MSC members concerned assign to their representatives on USIA:
 - (1) Full responsibility for representing their respective departments and agencies on Toreign intelligence matters so that a 'vote' by any member in using will represent the Tirm and Tinal position on his department or agency on that subject prior to its consideration and made resolution in the NSC.
 - (2) Functional responsibility for all foreign intelligence activities conducted by main respective departments and agencies at home or abroad.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that General Mull be invited to attend the Wednesday meeting with the Service intelligence calcus for the purpose

of clarifying, for all concerned, the views of the Hill Board with respect to the relationship of the new USIs to the DCT and of both to the NSC. Subsequent to such clarification, we may proceed with dispatch to develop a RSCID ! for NSC consideration that will be fully consistent with the desires of the full loand and the President.